



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/677,282

10/03/2003

Noritaka Okuda

3718-0105P

9656

2292 7590 09/11/2008
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

CHENG, PETER L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/11/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Response to Rule 312 Communication	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/677,282	OKUDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	PETER L. CHENG	2625

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 22 August 2008 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☐ entered.

b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.

c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) ☒ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

Claims 1, 7 and 13 were not amended per the Examiner's Amendment that accompanied the allowance action mailed 5/27/2008.

In addition, amended claim 17 introduced a minor antecedent basis objection ("image correction method" instead of "image correcting method").

Also regarding claim 17, it is believed that applicant had intended to attribute the steps performed in claim 17 to a "frame data correction device" as cited in claim 12 (e.g., see the corresponding device claim 16).

/King Y. Poon/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/P. L. C./
Examiner, Art Unit 2625